

Email Sent to Applicant

From: escertification@energystar.gov
Sent: 2016-07-12T12:23:51.000-04:00
To: jason.walega@cbre-ne.com, cdavidson@engsolutions.com,
Subject: ENERGY STAR Application for 10 St. James Ave. (ID: 1226060)

Dear Jason Walega :

Thank you for applying for the ENERGY STAR for 10 St. James Ave. (ID: 1226060). We have reviewed your application, and we wanted to follow up on the issue below:

The electric meter on pages 17-18 of your application, "(b) (4)", shows identical consumption of (b) (4) kWh for the periods 3/14/2015-4/14/2015, 4/14/2015-5/14/2015, 6/14/2015-7/14/2015, 9/14/2015-10/14/2015, 12/14/2015-1/14/2016, and 1/14/2016-2/14/2016 and identical consumption of (b) (4) kWh for the periods 5/14/2015-6/14/2015, 7/14/2015-8/14/2015, and 8/14/2015-9/14/2015. Are these entries accurate as billed, or have any entries been incorrectly recorded or estimated?

The electric meter on pages 23-24 of your application, "(b) (4)" shows unusually high consumption for the period between 2/14/2015 and 4/14/2015 that does not seem to fit into typical consumption patterns for this meter. This entry is (b) (4) kWh, while the next year's entry for this period is (b) (4) kWh. Is this entry accurate as billed? If so, please provide an explanation for why consumption was significantly higher than might be expected for this period.

The electric meter on page 25 of your application, "(b) (4)", shows unusually high consumption for the period between 12/14/2015 and 4/14/2016 that does not seem to fit into typical consumption patterns for this meter. These four entries are all above (b) (4) kWh, while 3/14/2015-4/14/2015 has an entry of (b) (4) kWh. Is this entry accurate as billed? If so, please provide an explanation for why consumption was significantly higher than might be expected for this period.

Please respond to this email by 8/11/2016 if you would like this property to be considered for the ENERGY STAR.

Thank you and we look forward to your response,

ENERGY STAR Certification Review Team

Response from Applicant

7/13/16

Energy Star:

Thank you for your review of our client's Energy Star application.

Regarding Meter (b) (4) and Meter (b) (4), the utility company bills contained some estimated months which resulted as identical usage entries. Per Energy Star direction on previous applications, since the 'estimated' consumption was defined by the utility company and not the end-user, we did not click 'estimate'. The utility company assessed the actual utility meter at different periods, and made appropriate adjustments. The meter information provided records the utility consumption during the 12-month performance period, and confirmed by the LP from utility bills.

Regarding Meter (b) (4), this meter serves a tenant area that was under construction during the performance period. Due to the GSF being less than 10%, it was not required to be separately broken out. The population data was adjusted accordingly due to it not being used for part of the performance period. Upon full re-occupancy of the space, the electric consumption increased.

If you have any other questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you.

Regards,

Christopher Davidson